

Positivity of Dunkl's Intertwining Operator via the Trigonometric Setting

Margit Rösler and Michael Voit

1 Introduction

In [15], it was proven that Dunkl's intertwining operator between the rational Dunkl operators for a fixed finite reflection group and nonnegative multiplicity function is positive. As a consequence, we obtained an abstract Harish-Chandra-type integral representation for the Dunkl kernel, the image of the usual exponential kernel under the intertwiner. The proof was based on methods from the theory of operator semigroups and a rank-one reduction.

In the present paper, we give a new, completely different proof of these results under the only additional assumption that the underlying reflection group has to be crystallographic. In contrast to the proof of [15], where precise information on the supports of the representing measures could only be obtained by going back to estimates of the kernel from [5], this information is now directly obtained. Our new approach relies first on an asymptotic relationship between the Opdam-Cherednik kernel and the Dunkl kernel as recently observed by de Jeu [6], and second on positivity results of Sahi [17] for the Heckman-Opdam polynomials and their nonsymmetric counterparts.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic notation

Let \mathfrak{a} be a finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We use the same notation for the bilinear extension of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to the complexification $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of \mathfrak{a} , and we

identify \mathfrak{a} with its dual $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{R})$ via the given inner product. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\}$, we write $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ and $\sigma_\alpha(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ for the orthogonal reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to α . We consider a crystallographic root system R in \mathfrak{a} , that is, R is a finite subset of $\mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\}$ which spans \mathfrak{a} and satisfies $\sigma_\alpha(R) = R$ and $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R$. We also assume that R is indecomposable and reduced, that is, $R \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{\pm\alpha\}$ for all $\alpha \in R$. Let W be the finite reflection group generated by the σ_α , $\alpha \in R$. We will fix a positive subsystem R_+ of R as well as a *nonnegative* multiplicity function $k = (k_\alpha)_{\alpha \in R}$, satisfying $k_\alpha = k_\beta$ if α and β are in the same W -orbit.

2.2 Rational Dunkl operators and Dunkl’s intertwiner

References for this section are [7, 8, 12, 15]. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}]$ denote the vector space of complex polynomial functions on \mathfrak{a} , and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}$ the subspace of polynomials which are homogeneous of degree $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The rational Dunkl operators on \mathfrak{a} associated with R and fixed multiplicity $k \geq 0$ are given by

$$T_\xi = T_\xi(k) = \partial_\xi + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle} (1 - \sigma_\alpha), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{a}. \tag{2.1}$$

These operators commute and map \mathcal{P} onto itself. Moreover, there exists a unique linear isomorphism $V = V_k$ on \mathcal{P} with $V(1) = 1$, $V(\mathcal{P}_n) = \mathcal{P}_n$, and $T_\xi V = V \partial_\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$. According to [8], the intertwining operator V can be extended to larger classes of functions as follows: for $r > 0$, let $K_r := \{x \in \mathfrak{a} : |x| \leq r\}$ denote the ball of radius r and define

$$A_r := \left\{ f : K_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n \text{ with } f_n \in \mathcal{P}_n, \|f\|_{A_r} := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|f_n\|_{\infty, K_r} < \infty \right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\|f_n\|_{\infty, K_r} := \sup_{x \in K_r} |f_n(x)|$. The space A_r is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{A_r}$ (in fact, a commutative Banach algebra). V extends to a continuous linear operator on A_r by $V(\sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty V f_n$. The Dunkl kernel Exp_W is defined by

$$\text{Exp}_W(\cdot, z) := V(e^{\langle \cdot, z \rangle}), \quad z \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.3}$$

It extends to a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$ which is symmetric in its arguments. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$, $\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, \cdot)$ is the unique holomorphic solution of the joint eigenvalue problem

$$T_\xi f = \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle f \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{a}, f(0) = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

For $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, we denote by $C(x)$ the closure of the convex hull of the W -orbit Wx of x in \mathfrak{a} . Moreover, for a locally compact Hausdorff space X , we write $M^1(X)$ for the set of probability measures on the Borel σ -algebra of X . In [15], the following is proven.

Theorem 2.1. For each $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, there exists a unique probability measure $\mu_x \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ such that

$$\forall f(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(\xi) d\mu_x(\xi) \quad \forall f \in A_{|x|}. \tag{2.5}$$

The support of μ_x is contained in $C(x)$. □

As a consequence,

$$\text{Exp}_W(x, z) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_x(\xi) \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{2.6}$$

In [15], the proof of the inclusion $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq C(x)$ requires the exponential bounds on Exp_W from [5], which are by far not straightforward. As is well known, the W -invariant parts of the rational and trigonometric Dunkl theories are, for certain discrete sets of multiplicities, realized within the classical Harish-Chandra theory for semisimple symmetric spaces. In particular, for such k , the generalized Bessel functions

$$J_W(\cdot, z) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \text{Exp}_W(\cdot, w^{-1}z), \quad z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{2.7}$$

can be identified with the spherical functions of an underlying Cartan motion group; for details see, for example, [6]. In this case, their integral representation according to (2.6) is a special case of a (Euclidean type) Harish-Chandra integral, and the inclusion $\text{supp } \mu_x \subseteq C(x)$ follows from Kostant's convexity theorem [11, Proposition IV.4.8 and Theorem IV.10.2].

2.3 Cherednik operators and the Opdam-Cherednik kernel

The basic concepts of this as well as the following section are due to Opdam [13] (see also [14, part I]), Heckman (see [10, part I]), and Cherednik [4]. Let

$$P := \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a} : \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \alpha \in R \} \tag{2.8}$$

denote the weight lattice associated with the root system R . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we define the exponential e^λ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $e^\lambda(z) := e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle}$ and denote by \mathcal{T} the \mathbb{C} -span of $\{e^\lambda, \lambda \in P\}$. This is the algebra of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to R . The Cherednik operator in direction $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$D_\xi = D_\xi(k) = \partial_\xi + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} (1 - \sigma_\alpha) - \langle \rho(k), \xi \rangle, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\rho(k) = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \alpha$. Each D_ξ maps \mathcal{T} onto itself and (for fixed k) the operators D_ξ commute. Notice that in contrast to the rational T_ξ , they depend on the particular choice of R_+ . For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique holomorphic function $G(\lambda, \cdot)$ in a tubular neighborhood of \mathfrak{a} which satisfies

$$D_\xi G(\lambda, \cdot) = \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle G(\lambda, \cdot) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad G(\lambda, 0) = 1 \tag{2.10}$$

(see [14, Corollary I.7.6]). G is called the Opdam-Cherednik kernel. It is in fact (as a function of both arguments) holomorphic in a suitable tubular neighborhood of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{a}$ [13, Theorem 3.15]. The rational Dunkl operators can be considered a scaling limit of the Cherednik operators, and this implies limit relations between the kernels Exp_W and G . We will need the following variant of [6, Theorem 4.12].

Proposition 2.2. Let $\delta > 0$ be a constant, $K, L \subset \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$ compact sets, and $h : (0, \delta) \times L \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$ a continuous mapping such that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon h(\epsilon, \lambda) = \lambda$ uniformly on L . Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} G(h(\epsilon, \lambda), \epsilon z) = \text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) \tag{2.11}$$

uniformly for $(\lambda, z) \in L \times K$. □

The proof is the same as for [6, Theorem 4.12], with λ/ϵ replaced by $h(\epsilon, \lambda)$. We mention that for integral k , such a limit transition has first been carried out in [2] by use of shift operator methods.

2.4 A scaling limit for nonsymmetric Heckman-Opdam polynomials

The definition of these polynomials involves a suitable partial order on P ; we refer to the one used in [14]. Let

$$P_+ := \{ \lambda \in P : \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in R_+ \} \tag{2.12}$$

denote the set of dominant weights associated with R_+ , and λ_+ the unique dominant weight in the orbit $W\lambda$. One defines $\lambda \triangleleft \nu$ if either $\lambda_+ < \nu_+$ in dominance ordering (i.e., $\nu_+ - \lambda_+ \in Q_+$, the \mathbb{Z}_+ -span of R_+), or if $\lambda_+ = \nu_+$ and $\nu < \lambda$ (in dominance ordering). Further, $\lambda \trianglelefteq \nu$ means $\lambda = \nu$ or $\lambda \triangleleft \nu$. The nonsymmetric Heckman-Opdam polynomials $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in P\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ associated with R_+ and k are uniquely characterized by the conditions

$$E_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \trianglelefteq \lambda} a_{\lambda, \nu} e^\nu \quad \text{with } a_{\lambda, \lambda} = 1, \tag{2.13}$$

$$D_\xi E_\lambda = \langle \tilde{\lambda}, \xi \rangle E_\lambda \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{a}, \tag{2.14}$$

with the shifted spectral variable $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \epsilon(\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle) \alpha$. Here $\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is defined by $\epsilon(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$ and $\epsilon(x) = -1$ for $x \leq 0$. For details, see [13] and [14, Section I.2.3].

On the other hand, we know (cf. (2.10)) that $G(\tilde{\lambda}, \cdot)$ is the up-to-a-constant-factor unique holomorphic solution of (2.14). Hence

$$E_\lambda = c_\lambda \cdot G(\tilde{\lambda}, \cdot), \tag{2.15}$$

with a constant $c_\lambda = E_\lambda(0) > 0$. The precise value of c_λ is given in [14, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 2.3. For $\lambda \in P$ and $z \in a_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_{n\lambda}} E_{n\lambda} \left(\frac{z}{n} \right). \tag{2.16}$$

The convergence is locally uniform with respect to z . □

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in P$ and observe that $n\tilde{\lambda} = n\lambda + (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \epsilon(\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle) \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by Proposition 2.2 and identity (2.15), we have, locally uniformly for $z \in a_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G \left(n\tilde{\lambda}, \frac{z}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_{n\lambda}} E_{n\lambda} \left(\frac{z}{n} \right). \tag{2.17}$$

■

Remark 2.4. Similar results hold for the symmetric Heckman-Opdam polynomials

$$P_\lambda(z) = \frac{|W\lambda|}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} E_\lambda(w^{-1}z), \quad \lambda \in P_+. \tag{2.18}$$

They are W -invariant and related with the multivariable hypergeometric function

$$F(\lambda, z) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} G(\lambda, w^{-1}z) \tag{2.19}$$

via

$$P_\lambda = c_\lambda^* \cdot F(\lambda + \rho, \cdot) \quad \forall \lambda \in P_+ \tag{2.20}$$

with $c_\lambda^* = |W\lambda| \cdot c_\lambda$ and $\rho = \rho(k) = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \alpha$, (cf. [10, equation (4.4.10)]). This also follows from (2.15) because F is in fact W -invariant in both arguments and for $\lambda \in P_+$, the shifted weight $\tilde{\lambda}$ is contained in the W -orbit of $\lambda + \rho$ [13, Proposition 2.10]. Further, Corollary 2.3 implies that for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $z \in a_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$J_W(\lambda, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F \left(n\lambda + \rho, \frac{z}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_{n\lambda}^*} P_{n\lambda} \left(\frac{z}{n} \right). \tag{2.21}$$

For illustration, consider the rank-one case (type A_1) with $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}$ and $R_+ = \{2\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 1$. Fix $k = k_{2\alpha} \geq 0$. Then according to the example in [13, page 89f],

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda, z) &= {}_2F_1\left(a, b, c; \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cosh z)\right), \\ G(\lambda, z) &= {}_2F_1\left(a, b, c; \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cosh z)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{2c} \sinh z \cdot {}_2F_1\left(a + 1, b + 1, c + 1; \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cosh z)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

with $a = \lambda + k$, $b = -\lambda + k$, and $c = k + 1/2$. The weight lattice is $P = \mathbb{Z}$, and the associated Heckman-Opdam polynomials are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(z) &= c_n^* F(n + k, z) = c_n^* \cdot Q_n^k(\cosh z), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ E_n(z) &= c_n G(\tilde{n}, z) = c_n \left[Q_{|n|}^k(\cosh z) + \frac{\tilde{n} + k}{2k + 1} \cdot \sinh z Q_{|n|-1}^{k+1}(\cosh z) \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

with $\tilde{n} = n + k$ for $n > 0$, $\tilde{n} = n - k$ for $n \leq 0$, and the renormalized Gegenbauer polynomials

$$Q_n^k(x) = {}_2F_1\left(n + 2k, -n, k + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}(1 - x)\right). \tag{2.24}$$

Relation (2.21) reduces to the classical limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n^k\left(\cos \frac{z}{n}\right) = j_{k-1/2}(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{2.25}$$

for the modified Bessel functions

$$j_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \cdot \frac{J_\alpha(z)}{z^\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \cdot \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}, \tag{2.26}$$

see [1, Theorem 4.11.6]. It is clear from the explicit representation of the Gegenbauer polynomials in terms of Tchebycheff polynomials [1, equation (6.4.11)] that for $k \geq 0$, the expansion coefficients of P_n with respect to the exponentials $z \mapsto e^{mz}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are all non-negative. A closer inspection shows that the same holds for the nonsymmetric E_n . This is in fact a special case of a deep result for general Heckman-Opdam polynomials due to Sahi [17]: if the multiplicity function k is nonnegative, then it follows from [17, Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 6.1] that the coefficients $a_{\lambda, \nu}$ of E_λ in (2.13) are all real and nonnegative. More precisely, if $\Pi_k := \mathbb{Z}_+[k_\alpha]$ denotes the set of polynomials in the parameters k_α

with nonnegative integral coefficients, then for suitable $d_\lambda \in \Pi_k$, all coefficients of $d_\lambda E_\lambda$ are contained in Π_k as well. This positivity result is the key for our subsequent proof of [Theorem 2.1](#).

3 New proof of [Theorem 2.1](#)

In contrast to our approach in [\[15\]](#), we first derive a positive integral representation for the Dunkl kernel. As before, R and $k \geq 0$ are fixed.

Proposition 3.1. For each $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, there exists a unique probability measure $\mu_x \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ such that [\(2.6\)](#) holds. The support of μ_x is contained in $C(x)$. □

Proof. It suffices to prove the existence of the representing measures as stated; their uniqueness is immediate from the injectivity of the (usual) Fourier-Stieltjes transform on $M^1(\mathfrak{a})$. Let $\lambda \in P$. Then by Sahi's positivity result mentioned above,

$$G(\tilde{\lambda}, \cdot) = \frac{1}{c_\lambda} E_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \trianglelefteq \lambda} b_{\lambda, \nu} e^\nu, \tag{3.1}$$

with coefficients $b_{\lambda, \nu}$ satisfying

$$0 \leq b_{\lambda, \nu} \leq 1, \quad \sum_{\nu \trianglelefteq \lambda} b_{\lambda, \nu} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Now fix $\lambda \in P$ and $z \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}$. Then by [Corollary 2.3](#),

$$\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{c_{n\lambda}} E_{n\lambda} \left(\frac{z}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\nu \trianglelefteq n\lambda} b_{n\lambda, \nu} e^{\langle \nu, z/n \rangle}. \tag{3.3}$$

Introducing the discrete probability measures

$$\mu_\lambda^n := \sum_{\nu \trianglelefteq n\lambda} b_{n\lambda, \nu} \delta_{\nu/n} \in M^1(\mathfrak{a}), \tag{3.4}$$

(where δ_x denotes the point measure in $x \in \mathfrak{a}$), we may write the above relation in the form

$$\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_\lambda^n(\xi). \tag{3.5}$$

The following lemma shows that the support of μ_λ^n is contained in $C(\lambda)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\lambda, \nu \in P$ with $\nu \trianglelefteq \lambda$. Then $\nu \in C(\lambda)$. □

Proof. Let $C := \{x \in \mathfrak{a} : \langle \alpha, x \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \alpha \in R_+\}$ be the closed Weyl chamber associated with R_+ and

$$C^* := \{y \in \mathfrak{a} : \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall x \in C\} \tag{3.6}$$

its closed dual cone. Notice that $Q_+ \subset C^*$. Therefore, $\nu \preceq \lambda$ implies that $\lambda_+ - \nu_+ \in C^*$. We employ the following characterization of $C(x)$ for $x \in C$ [11, Lemma IV.8.3]:

$$C(x) = \bigcup_{w \in W} w(C \cap (x - C^*)). \tag{3.7}$$

This shows that $\nu \in C(\lambda)$ if and only if $\nu_+ \in \lambda_+ - C^*$, which yields the statement. ■

We now continue with the proof of Proposition 3.1. Fix $\lambda \in P$. By the preceding result, we may consider the μ_λ^n as probability measures on the compact set $C(\lambda)$. According to Prohorov’s theorem (see, e.g., [3]), the set $\{\mu_\lambda^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is relatively compact. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may therefore assume that there exists a measure $\mu_\lambda \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ which is supported in $C(\lambda)$ and such that $\mu_\lambda^n \rightarrow \mu_\lambda$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus in view of (3.5),

$$\text{Exp}_W(\lambda, z) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_\lambda(\xi) \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{3.8}$$

In order to extend this representation to arbitrary arguments $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ instead of $\lambda \in P$, observe first that for $r \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\text{Exp}_W(r\lambda, z) = \text{Exp}_W(\lambda, rz) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{r\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_\lambda(\xi). \tag{3.9}$$

Defining $\mu_{r\lambda} \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ as the image measure of μ_λ under the dilation $\xi \mapsto r\xi$ on \mathfrak{a} , we therefore obtain (2.6) for all $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot P = \{r\lambda : r \in \mathbb{Q}, \lambda \in P\}$. The set $\mathbb{Q} \cdot P$ is obviously dense in \mathfrak{a} . For arbitrary $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, choose an approximating sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \subset \mathbb{Q} \cdot P$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Using Prohorov’s theorem once more, we obtain, after passing to a subsequence, that $\mu_{x_n} \rightarrow \mu_x$ weakly for some $\mu_x \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$. The support of μ_x can be confined to an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $C(x)$, and must therefore coincide with $C(x)$. We thus have

$$\text{Exp}_W(x, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Exp}_W(x_n, z) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_x(\xi) \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{3.10}$$

which finishes the proof of the proposition. ■

Proof of [Theorem 2.1](#). By [Proposition 3.1](#) and the definition of V ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} V_x(\langle x, z \rangle^n) &= V_x(e^{\langle x, z \rangle}) = \int_a e^{\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu_x(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_a \langle \xi, z \rangle^n d\mu_x(\xi) \quad (z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}); \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

here the subscript x means that V is taken with respect to x . Comparison of the homogeneous parts in z of degree n yields that

$$V_x(\langle x, z \rangle^n) = \int_a \langle \xi, z \rangle^n d\mu_x(\xi) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.12}$$

As the \mathbb{C} -span of $\{x \mapsto \langle x, z \rangle^n, z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ is \mathcal{P}_n , it follows by linearity that

$$Vp(x) = \int_a p(\xi) d\mu_x(\xi) \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \mathfrak{a}. \tag{3.13}$$

Finally, as \mathcal{P} is dense in each $(A_r, \|\cdot\|_{A_r})$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, K_r} \leq \|\cdot\|_{A_r}$, an easy approximation argument implies that this integral representation remains valid for all $f \in A_r$, with $r \geq |x|$. This finishes the proof. ■

We conclude this paper with a remark concerning positive product formulas. It is conjectured that (again in case $k \geq 0$) the multivariable hypergeometric function F has a positive product formula. More precisely, we conjecture that for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$, there exists a probability measure $\sigma_{x,y} \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ whose support is contained in the ball $K_{|x|+|y|}(0)$ and which satisfies

$$F(\lambda, x)F(\lambda, y) = \int_a F(\lambda, \xi) d\sigma_{x,y}(\xi) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{3.14}$$

In the rank-one case, that is, for Jacobi functions, this is well known and goes back to [\[9\]](#). Equation [\(3.14\)](#) would immediately imply a positive product formula for the generalized Bessel function J_W (associated with the same multiplicity k). In fact, suppose there exist measures $\sigma_{x,y}$ as conjectured above, and denote for $r > 0$ the image measure of $\sigma_{x,y}$ under the dilation $\xi \mapsto r\xi$ on \mathfrak{a} by $\sigma_{x,y}^r$. Then by relation [\(2.21\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} J_W(\lambda, x)J_W(\lambda, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F\left(n\lambda + \rho, \frac{x}{n}\right)F\left(n\lambda + \rho, \frac{y}{n}\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a F\left(n\lambda + \rho, \frac{\xi}{n}\right) d\sigma_{x/n, y/n}^n(\xi) \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. As $\text{supp } \sigma_{x/n, y/n}^n \subseteq K_{|x|+|y|}(0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that there exists a probability measure $\tau_{x,y} \in M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ with $\text{supp } \tau_{x,y} \subseteq K_{|x|+|y|}(0)$ such that $\sigma_{x/n, y/n}^n \rightarrow \tau_{x,y}$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As further $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n\lambda + \rho, \xi/n) = J_W(\lambda, \xi)$ locally uniformly with respect to ξ , (3.15) implies the product formula

$$J_W(\lambda, x)J_W(\lambda, y) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} J_W(\lambda, \xi) d\tau_{x,y}(\xi) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (3.16)$$

The uniqueness of $\tau_{x,y}$ is immediate from the injectivity of the Dunkl transform on $M^1(\mathfrak{a})$ (cf. [16, Theorem 2.6]).

Acknowledgment

The first author was supported by NWO, project number B 61-544.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] S. Ben Said and B. Ørsted, *Generalized Bessel functions II*, preprint, 2004.
- [3] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [4] I. Cherednik, *A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 2, 411–431.
- [5] M. de Jeu, *The Dunkl transform*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 1, 147–162.
- [6] ———, *Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform*, preprint, 2004, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CA/0404439>.
- [7] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), no. 1, 167–183.
- [8] ———, *Integral kernels with reflection group invariance*, Canad. J. Math. **43** (1991), no. 6, 1213–1227.
- [9] M. Flensted-Jensen and T. Koornwinder, *The convolution structure for Jacobi function expansions*, Ark. Mat. **11** (1973), 245–262.
- [10] G. Heckman and H. Schlichtkrull, *Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 16, Academic Press, California, 1994.
- [11] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis. Integral Geometry, Invariant Differential Operators, and Spherical Functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 113, Academic Press, Florida, 1984.
- [12] E. M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, Compositio Math. **85** (1993), no. 3, 333–373.
- [13] ———, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta Math. **175** (1995), no. 1, 75–121.

- [14] ———, *Lecture Notes on Dunkl Operators for Real and Complex Reflection Groups*, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 8, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2000.
- [15] M. Rösler, *Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), no. 3, 445–463.
- [16] M. Rösler and M. Voit, *Markov processes related with Dunkl operators*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **21** (1998), no. 4, 575–643.
- [17] S. Sahi, *A new formula for weight multiplicities and characters*, Duke Math. J. **101** (2000), no. 1, 77–84.

Margit Rösler: Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

E-mail address: mroesler@science.uva.nl

Michael Voit: Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Dortmund, Vogelpothsweg 87, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

E-mail address: michael.voit@mathematik.uni-dortmund.de